

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINARECEIVED  
USDC CLERK, CHARLESTON, SC

2007 JUL 25 P 1: 52

Michael Mahaffey,

Plaintiff,

v.

Simon Major, Jr., Director SLRDC;  
Officer Riley, Officer at SLRDC; and  
Officer Anderson, Female Officer at  
SLRDC,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 3:07-1611-SB

ORDER

This matter is before the Court upon the Plaintiff's pro se complaint, which alleges violations of his constitutional rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. By local rule, this matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge for preliminary determinations.


On June 18, 2007, Magistrate Judge Joseph R. McCrorey issued a report and recommendation ("R&R") analyzing the Plaintiff's complaint and recommending that the Court dismiss the Plaintiff's complaint without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. Attached to the R&R was a notice advising the Plaintiff of the right to file specific, written objections to the R&R within 10 days of the date of service of the R&R. To date, no objections have been filed.

Absent timely objection from a dissatisfied party, a district court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, a Magistrate Judge's factual or legal conclusions. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); Wells v. Shriners' Hosp., 109 F.3d 198, 201 (4th Cir. 1997). Here, because the Plaintiff did not file any specific, written objections, there are no portions of the R&R to which the Court must conduct a de novo review. Accordingly, the Court hereby adopts the Magistrate Judge's R&R as the Order

of this Court, and it is

**ORDERED** that the Plaintiff's complaint is dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

  
The Honorable Sol Blatt, Jr.  
Senior United States District Judge

July 25, 2007  
Charleston, South Carolina

# 2